

Dr. Ambedkar: I should like to repudiate any such suggestion as my hon. friend is making. We impute no bad motives to the Judges.

Prof. S. L. Saksena: I am glad that he has said it today. Apart from the reasons given by my friend, Prof. Shah, that we should not change the Constitution for the sake of four persons, still even on principle I think that a foreigner sitting in the place of the Chief Justice will not have the independence and courage to give a judgment which will be above suspicion. The Law Minister said that nobody has cast an aspersion on the Judges. I have carefully read the speech of the Prime Minister.....

Mr. Chairman : May I just remind the hon. Member that the point at issue is not what the Law Minister or the Prime Minister has said in some other connection ? We are considering this clause and their view is not relevant to its consideration. The only point relevant is whether this clause should be accepted. I would beg of the hon. Member to confine his remarks to this question alone.

*** Shri Rajagopalachari:** The hon. Member wants to know what prohibition there was which we are trying to remove. Article 217 contains the prohibition against any Judge being a non-citizen. All the Judges would be covered by that provision. That is sought to be removed by a transitory provision.

Mr. Chairman: If a person cannot become a Judge of a High Court how can he become the Chief Justice ?

Shri Shiv Charan Lal: Transfer is covered by article 222. Therefore, for transfer it is not necessary that the Judge should be a citizen and it is not necessary to have this amendment.

Dr. Ambedkar : Sir, if it satisfies the House I would like to propose an amendment to clause 13 which would read thus :

In page 4, lines 8 and 9, "or of the Supreme Court".

Shri Kamath: That is one of my two amendments that I have moved.

* P. D., Vol 12, Part II, 2nd June 1951, p. 10024.

Dr. Ambedkar: Well, I am prepared to accept yours, if you like. I do not think any further reply is necessary from me if the House is satisfied with the deletion of the words “or of the Supreme Court”.

Mr. Chairman: I shall now put the amendments to the House. (Prof. Shah’s amendment was negatived.)

***Mr. Chairman:** The next is seeking to omit the words “or of the Supreme Court”. It is the same amendment that Dr. Ambedkar has proposed.

Shri Jawaharlal Nehru: It is exactly the same.

Shri Kamath: But I have moved it and Prof. Shah has also moved it.

Mr. Chairman: The amendment is there and I am bound to put it to the House.

An hon. Member: It may be withdrawn.

Prof. K. T. Shah: Why should I withdraw it ?

Mr. Chairman: The question is:

In page 4, lines 8 and 9, omit “or of the Supreme Court”.

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Chairman: The question is :

In page 4, after line 9, add:

“Provided that such Chief Justice or other Judge of a High Court shall acquire citizenship of India within three months of such appointment; and provided that no one who is not a natural born citizen of India shall be appointed Chief Justice or Judge of the Supreme Court of India.”

The motion was adopted.

**** Dr. S. P. Mookerjee:** This, as the Prime Minister has said, is a consequential change. Apparently, it refers to the Hyderabad Regulations which the House incorporated on an

* P. D., Vol 12, Part II, 2nd June 1951, p. 10024.

***Ibid.*, pp. 10035-36.

amendment moved on the floor of the House. What about the last part of the clause ? It says :

“.....each of the said Acts (and Regulations, if this is accepted) shall, subject to the power of any competent legislature to repeal or amend it, continue in force.”

So far as these Regulations are concerned, they cannot be repealed or amended by any Legislature when there is no Legislature in Hyderabad. There also, it should be altered by saying ‘legislature or other competent authority’.

Dr. Ambedkar: Whatever legislature there is, it will have the right to amend.

Mr. Speaker: There is confusion about the meaning of the word ‘legislature’. A legislature is conceived as consisting of a Chamber with elected representatives and so on. I believe the legislature here means, the Rajpramukh who is himself the legislature. That I think is the constitutional meaning.

Dr. Ambedkar: Yes.

Mr. Speaker: If that is so, there is no difficulty.

The question is :

In page 2,

- (i) line 35, *after* “Acts” *insert* “and Regulations”.
- (ii) line 36, *after* “Acts” *insert* “and Regulations”.
- (iii) line 39, *after* “Acts” *insert* “Regulations”.
- (iv) line 42, *after* “Acts” *insert* “Regulations”.

The motion was adopted.

Shri Kamath: Is it not necessary to put this clause, as amended, to the House ?

Mr. Speaker: Is it really necessary ? The position will be like this. “That the Bill, as amended, be passed” will be the motion I am going to put to the House. There is no particular clause again to be put to the House. The hon. Member will note that clause 5 was voted upon and the House has assented to it. Votes were taken separately on that clause. This amendment comes in as a consequential amendment.

Mr. Speaker: Under rule 94.

Dr. S. P. Mookerjee: It is for you to consider this. With regard to clauses, you have ruled deliberately for the sake of safety that every clause should be put separately and the votes of two-thirds of the Members present and voting should be recorded. Now, clause 5 has been passed in accordance with that direction. Now, we are amending clause 5. Is it not desirable and safe that clause 5, as amended, should be put separately and votes recorded ?

Mr. Speaker: That would be an irregular procedure. That clause, in the clause by clause consideration at the second reading, has been already accepted by the House. The proposition before the House is that the entire Bill, as amended, be passed. The amendment is merely a consequential or verbal amendment, which is permissible at this stage. No substantial amendment is permissible at this stage.

Orissa Order

* **Dr. Ambedkar:** I beg to move :

[For text of the motions *see* Appendix XXXIII, annexure 1]

West Bengal Order

Dr. Ambedkar: I beg to move:

[For text of the motions *see* Appendix XXXIII, annexure 1]

Madhya Pradesh Order

****Dr. Ambedkar:** I beg to move:

[For text of the motions *see* Appendix XXXIII, annexure 1]

Rajasthan Order

Dr. Ambedkar: I beg to move :

[For text of the motions *see* Appendix XXXIII, annexure 1]

PART C STATES ORDER

*** **Dr. Ambedkar:** I beg to move:

[For text of the motions *see* Appendix XXXIII, annexure 1.

**** REPRESENTATION OF THE PEOPLE (NO. 2)
BILL—*contd.*

The Minister of Law (Dr. Ambedkar): Sir, with your permission, I would like to move certain formula and consequential amendments to the Representation of the People (No. 2) Bill as it has emerged from the second reading. I beg to move :

“That the Bill, as amended, be passed.”

Mr. Chairman : Motion moved :

“That the Bill as amended, be passed.”

Shri Kamath (Madhya Pradesh): Before the Law Minister proceeds to move these amendments may I remind you of what I requested the hon. Speaker yesterday that this House is

*P. D., Vol 12, Part II, 4th June 1951, p. 10110.

***Ibid.*, p. 10111.

****Ibid.*, p. 10112.

*****Ibid.*, 5th June 1951, pp. 10202-03.

entitled to have notice of amendments. I must record my protest against this in the most emphatic terms that these lists of amendments were received not even last night but only this morning at about seven O'Clock—just an hour before we left home for Parliament. I feel that in the circumstances the Law Minister may put off moving his amendments till tomorrow and that Members be given adequate time to scrutinize and examine the amendments and to give notice of any amendments to these amendments I must request you to hold that these amendments have come very late and House must be given at least a day for examining the amendments and for submitting amendments to them.

Shri J. R. Kapoor (Uttar Pradesh): I associate myself with the suggestion made by Shri Kamath that as these amendments have been sent to us this morning, we might be given some reasonable time to see whether in our opinion they fit in with the scope and object of the Bill. I do not mean to raise any technical objection. I am never in that habit.....

Shri Kamath: Mine was not a technical objection either.

Shri J. R. Kapoor: Therefore, I am associating with Shri Kamath's suggestion. We are very particular about this Bill and are anxious to see that no amendment—even though it might have been carefully looked into by the hon. Law Minister—should be allowed to be incorporated in the Bill unless we have had a reasonable opportunity of analysing it.

Dr. Deshmukh (Madhya Pradesh): I think the suggestion made is quite reasonable and I hope that you will be pleased to accept it..... Under those circumstances, it is but fair that hon. Members of this House should have an opportunity of seeing what consequential amendments are proposed and if there is any necessity for the same. They should have a fair opportunity of giving notice of any amendments they wish to move. There are many other measures that can be taken up today.

Mr. Chairman: I would like to know the reaction of the Hon. Law Minister.

Dr. Ambedkar: I contend that these amendments are purely formal and consequential. There is nothing which raises the question of substance. However, if Members think that they need some time, I have no objection to the matter being taken up tomorrow subject to the other business of Government.

The Minister of State for Parliamentary Affairs (Shri Satya Narayan Sinha): The next item on the agenda may be taken up.

Mr. Chairman: I quite see the reasonableness of the request.

*[For text of the motions See Appendix XXXIII, Annexure 4.]

MADHYA PRADESH ORDER

Shri M. A. Hassan Madhya Pradesh): I beg to move:

[For text of the motions see Appendix XXXIII, Annexure 4]

The Minister of Law (Dr. Ambedkar): I beg to move :

[For text of the motions see Appendix XXXIII, Annexure 4]

UTTAR PRADESH ORDER

Pandit Balkrishna Sharma (Uttar Pradesh): I beg to move:

[For text of the motions *see* Appendix XXXIII, Annexure 4]

Mr. Speaker: I do not know what the Government proposes to do about the motions in respect of the U. P. Order. The motions have to be moved to-day.

Dr. Ambedkar: I am in a difficult situation, because the revised order is not yet ready.

Mr. Speaker: Will it be ready by one o'clock to-day ?

Dr. Ambedkar: We are trying our best and I shall let the House know and let you also know before one o'clock how the position stands.

* P. D., Vol. 12, Part II, 7th June 1951, pp. 10342-43.

Mr. Speaker: The point is that before one o'clock the motions must be made in the House. Otherwise, perhaps, the motions will not be admissible at all. Therefore, I would suggest the motions may be moved and examined and then it will be possible to suggest amendments in the motion. That would be one of the courses open. The Hon. Law Minister may consider that, I mean amendments so far as language and other such things are concerned and not amendments of substance.

* MOTIONS Re. DELIMITATION OF
CONSTITUENCIES ORDERS, 1951—*contd.*

The Minister of Law (Dr. Ambedkar): I should like that the Assam order be first taken into consideration.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Yes. A number of amendments have been tabled to this. For the purpose of convenience is it not possible to ascertain what amendments the Hon. Law Minister is prepared to accept, in which case the other amendments may not be pressed? Of course, if there are any Members who want to press their amendments we can deal with them.

Dr. Ambedkar: With regard to Assam I have many amendments.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Therefore, if the Hon. Minister moves his amendments first, whatever is not covered we can address ourselves to it later.

Dr. Ambedkar: My amendments are in Suppl. List 4, Nos. 1 to 8. They are purely technical amendments and there is no point of substance involved. On further consideration I propose to withdraw Nos. 1 and 2 of my amendments.

The amendments, by leave withdrawn.

*** Shri Chaliha:** I also like to press my motion No. 2 in supplementary List No. 2. I do not press No. 1.

In the constitution it is provided that the Shillong constituency will be open as a general constituency. Article 332(6) says :

“No person who is not a member of a Scheduled Tribe of any autonomous district of the State of Assam shall be eligible for election to the Legislative Assembly of the State from any constituency of that district except from the constituency comprising the cantonment and municipality of Shillong.”

I think Dr. Ambedkar has accepted this and it is said that was a printing mistake and that it will not be reserved for the Scheduled Tribes but that it will be a general constituency. Through mistake or otherwise it has been reserved for the Scheduled Tribes. It should not be reserved for them. It should be open for the general population. This has been specifically provided in the Constitution as I have already pointed out.

Dr. Ambedkar: I had said that the office has treated it as a printing error and that we propose to issue a corrigendum. Probably it has already been issued.

Shri Chaliha: In that case I would like to withdraw that motion (No. 2 in Supplementary List No. 2 relating to Assam Orders).

The motion was, by leave, withdrawn.

**** Dr. Ambedkar:** I would like to accept the following amendments :

Consolidated List I—parts 1 and 2.

Consolidated List I—amendments 1 to 4.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: That means 50 per cent. of Mr. Das's amendments.

* P. D., Vol. 12, Part II, 8th June 1951, p. 10503.

** P. D., Vol. 12, Part II, 4th June 1951, p. 10510.

Shri Biswanath Das : I gave notice of other amendments.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: None here.

Shri Biswanath Das : Even these amendments represent only the few that I had to give notice of after persistent requests from the members of different districts, I had another amendment. After they were accepted by the Hon. Minister I thought they would give notice of them.

Dr. Ambedkar: I have given the amendments that I have accepted.

* **Mr. Deputy Speaker:** The question is :

[For text of the motions *see* Dr. Ambedkar's amendments Nos. 1 to 3 (Orissa Order) in Appendix XXXII, annexure 1].

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: To that extent the President's order is modified.

The question is :

That the following modification be made in the Delimitation of Parliamentary and Assembly Constituencies (Orissa) Order, 1951 laid on the Table on the 16th day 1951, namely:

1. That at page 1, in Table A—Parliamentary Constituencies, in column 1, for the entry "Dhenkanal" the entry "Ganjam-South" be substituted "

1. That at page 1, in Table A—Parliamentary Constituencies, in column 1, for the entry "Ganjam-South" be substituted".

The motion was adopted.

Dr. Ambedkar: My own amendment is in Supplementary List 2, Nos. 1 to 5. I accept the one in the name of Mr. Biswanath Das 1 and 2, the second with the modification "North East Ganjam" as "Ganjam South". The other amendment which I have accepted is in Supplementary List No. 1, 1 to 4 as modified.

* P. D., Vol. 12, Part II, 4th June 1951, pp. 10511-13.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The question is:

That the following modifications be made in the Delimitation of Parliamentary and Assembly Constituencies (Orissa) Order, 1951, laid on the Table on the 16th May 1951, namely:—

1. That at page 1, in Table A—Parliamentary Constituencies, for the entry “Koraput in column 1, and all the entries against it in columns 2, 3, 4 and 5, the following be substituted, namely:—

1	2	3	4	5
Nowrangpur	Nowrangpur sub-division, and the Padua, Pottangi, Simliguda and Nandapur police stations of of Koraput sub-division.	1	...	...

2. That at page 1, in Table A—Parliamentary Constituencies, for the entry “Kayagada-Phulbani” in column 1, and all the entries against it in columns 2, 3, 4, and 5, the following be substituted, namely:—

1	2	3	4	5
Rayagada-Phulbani	The entire Rayagada sub-division and the police station of Kora-put, Dashmantpur, Laxmipur and Narayanapatna of Koraput sub-division as also the district of Phulbani except police stations of Manmunda and Bondh.	1	...	...

3. That at page 1, in Table B—Assembly Constituencies, for the entry “Nowrangpur” in column 1, and all the entries against it in columns 2, 3, 4, and 5, the following be substituted, namely:—

1	2	3	4	5
Nowrangpur	Police stations of Nowrangpur Kodinga, Moidapur, Dabugaon, Omerkot and Jharigaon.	2	...	...1

4. That at page 1, in Table B—Assembly Constituencies, for the entry “Omerkot-Moidapur” in column 1, and all the entries against it in columns 2, 3, 4, and 5, the following be substituted, namely:—

1	2	3	4	5
Jeypur	Police stations of Jeypur, Kotpad Borigumma, B. Singhpur and Tonulikhunti.	2	1	...

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: So the President's order stands modified by these amendments.

Several hon. Members: It is time to adjourn, Sir.

Shri Kamath : Before the House adjourns may I bring to your notice the understanding arrived at about the question list for the 2nd, which had been postponed to the 9th. I trust that arrangement stands and that list will be taken up tomorrow.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: That will stand.

Will the Law Minister indicate the order in which he is going to take these delimitation orders ?

Dr. Ambedkar: I do not think the House will complain that they were taken by surprise, if sometimes I take some orders out of turn. All of them have been before them.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: All the orders will be completed tomorrow.

The House then adjourned till Half Past Eight of the Clock on Saturday, the 9th June 1951.

***Shri P. G. Sen :**There is one inter-district constituency known by the name of Darbhanga-cum-Bhagalpur, *vide* Delimitation Order, page 3. In page 4 there is another constituency as Purnea-cum-Bhagaipur.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order, hon. Members may carry on their consultations elsewhere and not disturb the House.

Shri P. G. Sen: My point in moving the motion is that the common ground is Bhagalpur. It can be amalgamated either this way or that. The question of amalgamation and the formation of the constituency is the question which I want to raise.....

Dr. Ambedkar: I have understood the point and I can reply to it in one sentence.

* P. D., Vol. 13, Part II, 9th June 1951, pp. 10518-19.

Shri P. G. Sen: Yes, Dr. Ambedkar can answer in a word or in a sentence.

Mr. Speaker: Order, order. The hon. Member will address the Chair.

Shri P. G. Sen: Certainly Dr. Ambedkar is a better orator than myself.

In bringing this motion before the House the question that arose in my mind was: am I doing injustice to Bhagalpur, or am I doing injustice to Darbhanga, or to Purnea? Not at all. The river Kosi divides the two districts of Bhagalpur and Purnea, and sufficient public money has been spent in undertaking aerial flights over this area Just imagine the state of those flood-devastated Kosi area for which this House has on more than on occasion been pressing to hurry up with the construction of the Kosi Project.

Dr. Ambedkar: This is becoming an irrigation department event.

Shri P. G. Sen: Another point I wish to submit is that I wish to amalgamate the entire area of Bhagalpur with Darbhanga and make it a plural constituency with reservation for a scheduled caste seat. The scheduled caste voters in Darbhanga are nearly 2,50,000 and Bhagalpur portion of Purnea-cum-Bhagalpur constituency has 71,000 voters (Scheduled Caste) so if that entire area of Bhagalpur in Purnea-cum-Bhagalpur Constituency is amalgamated with this Darbhananga-cum-Bhagalpur area a plural-member constituency can be formed. It would not be out of place to mention here that there is a topographical error in amendment No. 1 of Supplementary List No. 2 where in column 3 it is shown as "2" whereas in column 4 it is shown as nil; in column 4 "1" should be inserted.

* **Shri P. G. Sen:** May I submit to you Sir, that this is the only House where one can demand some justice done?

Mr. Speaker: Order, order, I may tell the hon. Member that the House will certainly do justice, but to have what one wants is not necessarily justice—though it may be so from one's own point of view, he has to leave it to the good sense of other people also who have no interest in doing injustice to anyone.

Dr. Ambedkar: There is only one point that I would like to mention in connection with the motion made by my friend, and it is this that the constituency that he proposes will have a total number of electors of 4,43,524 as against the maximum limit of 3,87,929. That objection itself is fatal to his proposal.

Shri P. G. Sen: But it is a plural-member constituency.

Dr. Ambedkar: So that is fatal to his proposal.

Mr. Speaker: So I am going to put the motion of Shri P. G. Sen to vote. (No. 1 in Supplementary List No. 2— Bihar Order). The question is :

[For text of the motion *see* Amendment No. 1 S. L. 2 printed in Appendix XXXIII, Annexure 1.]

The motion was negatived.

Dr. Ambedkar: Amendment No. 3 part 3 in Supplementary List No. 6, that is the amendment of Shri Jajware as modified by the amendment of Shri S. N. Das.

* **Mr. Speaker:** Now, I would like the House, at the end of the motions relating to each province, to pass a sort of a motion to the effect that consequential amendments in respect of the order relating to that particular State may be made under the authority of the Speaker, so that the draftsman and the Department will examine all these and set them right. The amendments will be strictly consequential and not substantial.

Dr. Ambedkar: For that purpose I shall be moving a separate amendment conferring upon you the power to permit

* P. D., Vol. 13, Part II, 9th June 1951, pp. 10528-32.

the draftsman, in consultation with you, to make certain consequential amendments.

Mr. Speaker: So we shall do it by one comprehensive motion at the end of the orders.

As regards the other motions I take it that hon. Members who have moved them will have the leave of the House to withdraw them.

The amendments were, by leave withdrawn.

BOMBAY ORDER

Dr. Ambedkar: Sir, I am prepared to accept the following amendments :

Supplementary List No. 1, Amendments No. 1 to 8 of Shri Shankar Rao Deo and others.

They are purely consequential amendments.

List No. 2, Amendment No. 2 of Shri Nijalingappa and Shri Munavalli; subject to the modification that in the entry against South Satara for "Item (15)" the words "Item (57)" be substituted.

Then I propose to accept:

In List No. 3, amendment No. 3, parts (1) and (2) by Shri Deogirikar and Shri Kumbhar.

In List No. 6, amendments Nos. 1 and 2, subject to the modification that against the entry Kolhapur-cum-Satara the words "The whole" at the beginning of the entry in column 2 are to be omitted.

In List No. 7, amendment No. 2, part 2, by Shri Hiray and Shri Deogirikar.

Then in List No. 8, I propose to withdraw amendments Nos. 1, 2 and 4 because they have already been covered by amendment No. 2 of List No. 6.

Then I propose to accept:

In List No. 8, amendments nos. 3 and 5 to 11.

In amendment No. 11, page 11, under item (64) for "Mahagond" substitute "Mamewadi"—which is a verbal change being a change of name—and "Gajargaon" at the end.

Then I accept:

In List No. 10, amendments 1, 2 and 3 by Shri Nijalingappa.

Mr. Speaker: In the list that he has given only 2 and 3 are mentioned.

Dr. Ambedkar: It was a mistake. I am accepting 1, 2 and 3.

Shri Kumbhar (Bombay): The amendment in list No. 6 is in my name. But my name is droppd.

Mr. Speaker: The name is there and the motion has already been made. Nothing further has to be done in respect of it now except the voting. Let him not worry about his name. We will see that it is properly put in.

Shri Kumbhar: There is another one excluding Kagal Taluk.

Dr. Ambedkar: Sir, those are changes which could be done by the draftsman on your authority.

Mr. Speaker: If they are consequential amendments. If we accept the substance they will be made.

Shri Bhatt: *rose*—

Dr. Ambedkar : My friend, I know, Sir, is particular about the mentioning of Santa Cruz' and so on. I have told him that those amendments will be made on your authority by the draftsman under the resolution I am proposing at the end.

Mr. Speaker: As regards the details of mentioning Santa Cruz or this road or excluding Kagal or bringing it in, let all the proposals by the hon. Members be made to the draftsman and let them discuss with him. He will consider them and, if necessary, I will pass orders.

Dr. Ambedkar: That is what I propose to ask.

Shri Bhatt: That is what I wanted to ask, whether changes in names would be made by your orders.

Dr. Ambedkar: As I said, I am going to move a motion. The substance of the motion will be that you will be empowered to instruct the draftsman to make certain changes of a purely formal character. When the House passes the motion the Speaker will have the necessary power to do the needful.

Mr. Speaker: This difficulty arises because some of the Members are not present from time to time and therefore they

miss the whole thing. Is there any other member wishing to move any other amendment ?

Shri Hiray (Bombay): Yes, Sir.

Mr. Speaker: In addition to what the Law Minister is accepting ?

Shri Hiray: Yes.

Dr. Ambedkar: What has happened on account of the decision relating to Dangs is that one more seat has been added to Maharashtra and that seat belongs to the Tribal people. Therefore a seat has to be provided in the constituencies that have been delimited so far as Maharashtra is concerned. This is the proposal which stands in the name of Mr. Hiray. Either he may move it or I may move it.

Mr. Speaker: It is better if the Hon. Minister moves it.

Dr. Ambedkar: I beg to move:

In Table B, page 8, Nasik District, in column I, *for* the words "Nasik urban" and "Nasik rural *cum* Igatpuri", *substitute* the words "Nashik Igatpuri" and against the same constituencies.—

(1) In column 2, *omit* all the words beginning with "Nasik Municipal" and ending with "Iatpuri Municipal area" and *substitute* "Nasik and Igatpuri Talukas including all Municipalities therein".

(2) In columns 3, 4, 5 *omit* the figures given therein and *substitute* 3, 1, 1 instead respectively.

Shri Kanhayala Desai (Bombay): There is a consequential amendment relating to Pardi. One scheduled tribe seat which is at present in Pardi Taluka should be removed and it should become a general seat.

Dr. Ambedkar: That becomes consequential. That you can do, Sir.

Mr. Speaker: Let it go on record that a specific point was raised.

* **Mr. Speaker:** The amendment of Dr. Ambedkar about Dangs. (as mentioned above).

The motion was adopted.

Shri Hiray : There is my consequential amendment in List No. 11.

Mr. Speaker: That is with reference to having one seat from Maharashtra. That is purely consequential and we will accept it as such.

The Hon. Law Minister wishes to have the leave of the House to withdraw amendments Nos. 1, 2 and 4 in List No. 8 and all the other hon. Members wish to have the leave of the House to withdraw the various amendments and motions standing in their names.

The amendments were by leave withdrawn.

[MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER IN THE CHAIR]

MADHYA PRADESH ORDER

Dr. Ambedkar: The amendments I am prepared to accept are these:

List No. 1.—Amendments Nos. 6 and 8 in the name of Kishorimohan Tripathi and others.

List No. 2.—Amendment No. 1, parts 1 to 5 of Dr. P. S. Deshmukh and others together with two consequential amendments to be moved by Dr. Deshmukh.

List No. 3.—Amendment No. 2 in the name of Shri Kishormohan Tripathi and others together with a consequential amendment to be moved by Shri Tripathi. (Amendment No. 2 is to be slightly modified so as to read “Khamaria R.I.C. of Khamaria Tehsil” for “Khamaria Tehsil”).

List No. 6.—Amendment Nos. 1 to 5.

* **Shri Jangde:** Sir, I want to withdraw my amendments.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: I will later ask leave of the House for the withdrawal. I will now put amendments No. 1 to 5 in list No. 6. Have they any consequential amendments?

Dr. Ambedkar: There, are no amendments to these amendments Nos. 1 to 5.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The question is:

[For text of the motions *see* Dr. Ambedkar's amendments Nos. 1 to 5 in List No. 6 printed in Appendix XXXIII, annexure No. 3.]

The motion was adopted.

***Dr. Deshmukh:** I have to move my amendments.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Yes, That is with reference to amendment No. 1 in List No. 2.

Dr. Ambedkar: There are amendments to his amendments Nos. 1 to 5 of List 2.

****Mr. Deputy Speaker:** To this extent the President's Order stands modified. Leave may be granted to all the Members to withdraw their amendments. All the other amendments moved by other hon. Members were, by leave withdrawn.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The Madhya Pradesh Order is over.

Dr. Ambedkar: There are other friends who are pressing me that their matter may be taken up first, that is West Bengal and Hyderabad.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: I am taking up Madras.

MADRAS ORDER

Dr. Ambedkar: With regard to the Madras Order, I am prepared to accept the following amendments:—

List No. 4.— Amendmnt No. 1, part 3, second alternative, and part 4, of Shri Kala Venkatarao.

Amendment No. 2, parts 1 and 2, of Shri Keshava Rao.

List No. 6.— Amendment No. 1, Parts 1 and 2, of Shri Sanjivaya, subject to the recast of part 2 as follows :

“That for all the entries against “Erode” in columns 2, 3, 4 and 5 the following be substituted :

1	2	3	4	5
Erode ...	Erode taluk, Bhavani taluk, Dhara-puram taluk [excluding such of the villages of Kundadam Firka as are specified in item No. (19) of the Appendix] and Kugalur Firka and such of the villages of Gobichetti-palayam Firka of Gobichettipala-yam taluk of Coimbatore district as are specified in item No. (18) of the Appendix ; and Karur taluk Kattalai, Kulithalai and Panjarpatti Firkas of Kulithalai taluk of Tiruchirapalli district.	2	1	...

* P. D. Vol. 13, Part II, 9th June 1950, p. 10543.

** *Ibid.*, pp. 10545-47.

List No. 11.— Amendment No. 2, parts 1 to 3, by Shri Kodandarama Reddy and others.

List No. 12.—Amendments Nos. 1 to 13, subject to amendment No. 6 being modified by Hon. Minister of Law as follows;—

That for all the entries against “Tiruppur” in volumns 2, 3, 4 and 5the follwoing be substituted : —

1	2	3	4	5
Tiruppur	The Kollegal, Gobichettipalayam and Tiruppur taluks and Savur and Avanashi firkas of Avanashi taluk (excluding the Kugalur firka and such of the villages of Gobichettipalayam firka of the Gopichetti-palayam taluk as are specified in item No. (18) of the Appendix and the the Varapatti firka of Tiruppur taluk) of the Coimbatore District.	1	...	...

I beg to move:

- (i) At page 4 in Table A.—Parliamentary Constituencies, against the entry ‘Dharmapuri “for the words “and Edappadi firka of Tiruchengoda taluka of Salem District” substitute the words “and Edappadi firka and such of the villages of Sankagiri firka of Tiruchengode taluk of Salem District as are specified in item 18A of the Appendix”.
- (ii) At page 4, in Table A.—Parliamentary Constituencies, against the entry “Tiruchengoda” for the words “(excluding Edappadi firka of Tiruchengoda taluk)” substitute the words “(excluding Edappadi firka and such of the villages of Sankagiri firka of Tiruchengode taluk as are specified in item 18A of the Appendix)”.
- (iii) At page 11 in Table B.—Assembly Constituencies, against the entry “Tiruchengode”, for the entry in column 2, substitute the following:
“Tiruchengode taluk (excluding Edappadi firka and such of the villages of Sankagiri firka and of the Tiruchengode firka as are specified in items (18A) and (16) respectively of the Appendix”).
- (iv) At page 11 in Table B.—Assembly Constituencis, against the entry “Edappadi”, for the entry in column 2, substitut the following:“.
Edappadi firka and such of the villages of Sankagiri firka of Tiruchengode taluk as are specified in item (18A) of the Appendix and the Mettur and Nangavalli firkas of Amalur taluk”.

11-00 A.M.

Sir, I want to move all the amendments in List No. 10. They are only a corrigenda.

*** Shri A. Joseph:**In the interest of the organisation to which I belong and in the interest of the Government I appeal to the House to provide plural member constituencies with distributive voting without reservation. If the Law Minister is not in a position to agree then I oppose his motion and I would ask you to record *my* disagreement with the proposal for single member constituencies without giving any facilities for the minorities.

Dr. Ambedkar: I cannot accept the amendments.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Were similar plural member constituencies created in the U.P. ?

Dr. Ambedkar: No, Sir. Nowhere has an exception been made.

WEST BENGAL ORDERS

****Dr. Ambedkar:** With regard to the West Bengal Order, I am prepared to accept the following amendments: Consolidated List No. 2—Parts 1 to 6 of Shri Samanta and Shri B. K. Das.

Supplementary List No. 1: Amendment No. 1—Parts 1 and 2 and Amendment No. 2—Parts 1 to 8 of Shri Himatsingka and Mr. Haq, as modified by Supplementary List No. 5, Amendments 1 to 4 of Shri Jhunjhunwala and Shri Sinha.)

I withdraw amendments Nos. 4 and 12 to 15 from the Supplementary List No. 3. The rest of them I move.

Shri Chattopadhyay (West Bengal): I would like to move the amendment appearing in Supplementary List No. 2, It covers 108 items, of which I do not want to press item No. 30.

*P. D., Vol. 13, Part II, 9th June 1951, p.10548.

** *Ibid.*, p. 10554.

***Dr. Ambedkar:** If I may say a word, in view of the fact that there is such a keen difference of opinion, I am prepared to suggest that it may be left to the Speaker to treat this matter as a sort of a nomenclature matter, without touching the substance. This matter may be kept open. Those Members who care may approach the Speaker and convince him that this is a matter of nomenclature and formally they may be put in without in any way disturbing the areas that are included in the particular constituencies.

Pandit Maitra: I am afraid we cannot agree to this because if one single member is keen on having a change in the nomenclature, another Member may suggest another thing and

Shri Chattopadhyay: Why are you afraid ?

Dr. M M. Das: That would be inconvenient.

Shri J. R. Kapoor (Uttar Pradesh): The point raised by Mr. Chattopadhyay is appealing to most of us. We do not want that at this late stage there should be any substantial change. The changes that he suggests could easily be made in the manner suggested by the Law Minister and an overwhelming majority of the House will, I should say, support that.

Shri S. M. Ghose (West Bengal): How could this Order be finalised by the Speaker ? My hon. friend has suggested some nomenclature. Other Members may suggest some other names. There will be no end to that.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The Hon. Speaker has to be here. He has to invite other Members together and ascertain from them what their wishes are. What about the Order ? Are we to say that the Order has to be modified to that extent or not ? Nomenclature is a part of the Order, it is not something like the marginal notes. I think there will be some difficulty in the matter. I leave it to the House.

Shri Chattopadhyay: With a heavy heart, Sir, I am forced to withdraw them.

The amendments were, by leave, withdrawn.